

Mathematics
of
Quantified Constraint Satisfaction

Hans. Geurts
Universität Flensburg, Frisia.
Barcelona, Spain.

CSP + QCSP

- There are different formulations of CSP

e.g. instance is a set of constraints

$$\{ N(v_1, v_2), R(v_2, v_3, v_4), S(v_1, v_4) \}$$

- N, R, S relations
- $\{v_1, \dots, v_4\}$ variables

- Can view each instance as a logical sentence

$$\exists v_1 \exists v_2 \exists v_3 \exists v_4 (N(v_1, v_2) \wedge R(v_2, v_3, v_4) \wedge S(v_1, v_4))$$

(primitive positive)

- View naturally gives way to quantified CSP (QCSP)
where \forall -quantification permitted

$$\forall v_1 \exists v_2 \forall v_3 \exists v_4 (N(v_1, v_2) \wedge R(v_2, v_3, v_4) \wedge S(v_1, v_4))$$

- QCSP has higher expressivity that comes at price of complexity:

- CSP NP-complete (in general)
- QCSP PSPACE-complete (in general)

Constraint Languages

- Study complexity of restricted cases of QCSP
 - one hope: discover tractable cases
- This talk: restrict constraint language
- Constraint language \equiv set of relations $\Gamma = \{R_1, R_2, \dots\}$
- For every constraint language Γ , define
 $QCSP(\Gamma) \equiv QCSP$ restricted to instances where all relations come from Γ
- Research problem: identify constraint languages Γ such that $QCSP(\Gamma)$ is poly-time tractable
- Here: study problems $QCSP_c(\Gamma)$ -
where constants allowed in constraints
constraint: $R(w_1, \dots, w_k)$ where each w_i a variable or constant

This talk

- Algebraic tools for studying problems $\text{QCSP}_c(\Gamma)$
- Collapsibility - lets us show $\text{QCSP}_c(\Gamma) \leq \text{CSP}_c(\Gamma)$ for certain Γ
- Sink algebras (= "bad" algebras for QCSP)
- 3-element case
- A different model: \exists -restricted QCSP ($\text{QCSP}^{\exists}(\Gamma)$)

An algebraic approach

- Algebraic approach for studying: based on *polymorphisms*
- Let $R \subseteq D^m$ be a relation
- An operation $f : D^k \rightarrow D$ on D is a *polymorphism* of R if for any choice of k tuples

$$\overline{t_1}, \dots, \overline{t_k} \in R$$

from R , the tuple

$$f(\overline{t_1}, \dots, \overline{t_k})$$

also in R

- What is $f(\overline{t_1}, \dots, \overline{t_k})$? Apply f *point-wise*.
- Let R be relation $\{(1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)\}$.

Let $\text{maj} : \{0, 1\}^3 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be the majority function on $\{0, 1\}$

- equal to 0 if 2 or 3 of arguments equal to 0
 - equal to 1 if 2 or 3 of arguments equal to 1
- maj is a polymorphism of R

Consider $\overline{t_1} = (1, 0)$, $\overline{t_2} = (0, 1)$, and $\overline{t_3} = (1, 1)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{maj}(\overline{t_1}, \overline{t_2}, \overline{t_3}) &= \text{maj}((1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)) \\ &= (\text{maj}(1, 0, 1), \text{maj}(0, 1, 1)) \\ &= (1, 1)\end{aligned}$$

An algebraic approach

- Polymorphisms of a constraint language Γ defined as
 $\text{Pol}(\Gamma) = \{f \mid f \text{ is polymorphism of all } R \in \Gamma\}$
- We have a way to pass from
constraint language $\Gamma \longrightarrow$ set of operations $\text{Pol}(\Gamma)$
- Notice $\text{Pol}(\cdot)$ order-reversing:
 - More relations in $\Gamma \longleftrightarrow$ Less ops. in $\text{Pol}(\Gamma)$
 - Less relations in $\Gamma \longleftrightarrow$ More ops. in $\text{Pol}(\Gamma)$
- Mapping $\text{Pol}(\cdot)$ and related map $\text{Inv}(\cdot)$ give *Galois connection*
- Key fact: the complexity of $\text{QCSP}(\Gamma)$ depends only on $\text{Pol}(\Gamma)$!
Theorem: If $\text{Pol}(\Gamma_1) = \text{Pol}(\Gamma_2)$, then $\text{QCSP}(\Gamma_1), \text{QCSP}(\Gamma_2)$ reduce to each other

Revisiting Schaefer's Theorem

- Schaefer's theorem with constants – algebraic formulation!

Let Γ be constraint language w/ relations over domain $\{0, 1\}$.

The problem $CSP_c(\Gamma)$ is poly-time tractable if:

1. The operation \wedge is a polymorphism of Γ .
2. The operation \vee is a polymorphism of Γ .
3. The operation $f(x, y, z) = x \oplus y \oplus z$ is a polymorphism of Γ .
4. The operation maj is a polymorphism of Γ .

Otherwise, $CSP_c(\Gamma)$ is NP-complete

QCSP_c(Γ), two-elements

- Classification of QCSP_c(Γ) for two-elt. domains
(e.g. [Creignou et al., 2001])
- Let Γ be constraint language w/ relations over domain $\{0, 1\}$.

The problem QCSP_c(Γ) is poly-time tractable if:

1. The operation \wedge is a polymorphism of Γ .
2. The operation \vee is a polymorphism of Γ .
3. The operation $f(x, y, z) = x \oplus y \oplus z$ is a polymorphism of Γ .
4. The operation maj is a polymorphism of Γ .

Otherwise, QCSP_c(Γ) is PSPACE-complete

- Same statement... except hard problems are PSPACE-complete
- Easy problems stay easy, hard problems get harder!

Tractable cases

- Tractable cases of two-elt. QCSP_c(Γ):
 - QUANTIFIED HORN SAT [Karpinski et al. '87]
 - QUANTIFIED XOR-SAT [Creignou et al. '01]
 - QUANTIFIED 2-SAT [Aspvall et al. '79]
- Originally proved tractable via disparate proof techniques!
 - QUANTIFIED HORN SAT - *developing and studying sound & complete proof system*
 - QUANTIFIED XOR-SAT - *innermost quantifier elimination*
 - QUANTIFIED 2-SAT - *analysis of “implication graphs”*