

Polynomial-size Kernels for Problems Parameterized Above Tight Lower Bounds

Gregory Gutin

Department of Computer Science
Royal Holloway, University of London

Fredericia 2009, 27th November 2009

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Various Parameterizations
- 3 Strictly Above/Below Expectation Method
- 4 Linear Ordering Problem AA
- 5 Exact r -SAT AA
- 6 Betweenness AA
- 7 Lin-2 AA

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Various Parameterizations
- 3 Strictly Above/Below Expectation Method
- 4 Linear Ordering Problem AA
- 5 Exact r -SAT AA
- 6 Betweenness AA
- 7 Lin-2 AA

Fixed-parameter Tractability

- A parameterized problem Π : a set of pairs (I, k) where I is the **main part** and k (usually an integer) is the **parameter**.
- Π is **fixed-parameter tractable** (FPT) if membership of (I, k) in Π can be decided in time $O(f(k)|I|^c)$, where $|I|$ is the size of I , $c = O(1)$ and $f(k)$ is a computable function.
- The idea: for small values of k , $O(f(k)|I|^c)$ is not too large.

Fixed-parameter Tractability

- Π is **fixed-parameter tractable** (FPT) if membership of (I, k) in Π can be decided in time $O(f(k)|I|^c)$, where $|I|$ is the size of I , $c = O(1)$ and $f(k)$ is a computable function.
- Examples of FPT problems:
 - Does a graph G have a vertex cover of size $\leq k$? An algorithm of runtime $O(1.2852^k + kn)$ (Chen, Kanj and Jia, 2001) instead of an $O(n^k m)$ -algorithm.
 - Does a digraph D have a spanning out-tree with $\leq k$ leaves? Algorithms of runtime $4^k n^{O(1)}$ (Kneis, Langer and Rossmanith, 2008) and $3.72^k n^{O(1)}$ (Daligault, Gutin, Kim and Yeo, 2009) instead of an $O(n^k m)$ -algorithm.

Kernelization

- A **kernelization** of Π polynomial-time algorithm that maps an instance $(x, k) \in \Pi$ to an instance $(x', k') \in \Pi$ (the **kernel**) such that
 - (x, k) is YES iff (x', k') is YES
 - $k' \leq f(k)$ and $|x'| \leq g(k)$ for some functions f and g .
- The function $g(k)$ is called the **size** of the kernel.
- A parameterized problem is FPT if and only if it is decidable and admits a kernelization.
- Wanted: low degree **polynomial-size** kernels (for preprocessing).

Parameterized Algorithms Monographs

- R. G. Downey and M. R. Fellows. *Parameterized Complexity*. Springer Verlag, 1999.
- J. Flum and M. Grohe. *Parameterized Complexity Theory*. Springer Verlag, 2006.
- R. Niedermeier. *Invitation to Fixed-Parameter Algorithms*. Oxford University Press, 2006.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Various Parameterizations**
- 3 Strictly Above/Below Expectation Method
- 4 Linear Ordering Problem AA
- 5 Exact r -SAT AA
- 6 Betweenness AA
- 7 Lin-2 AA

Various Parameterizations

- Above we considered **standard** parameterizations: the parameter is the size of a set to optimize.
- Parameterizations using structural parameters such as treewidth, cliquewidth, the number of vertices to delete to make G bipartite, etc.
- Parameterizations above and below tight bounds.

Acyclic Subgraphs of Digraphs: Standard Parameterization

- Given a digraph $D = (V, A)$, find an acyclic subgraph $H = (V, B)$ of D with the maximum number of arcs.
- Standard parameterization: $k = |B|$. Namely, does D have an acyclic subgraph with at least k arcs?
- Standard parameterization is FPT as $|B| \geq |A|/2$: if $k \leq |A|/2$ the answer is YES otherwise $|V| \leq |A| + 1 \leq 2k$ and we use a brute-force algorithm of running time $|A|^{O(1)}(2k)!$ to check whether the answer is YES.
- k is supposed to be small (for $|A|^{O(1)}(2k)!$ to be tractable), but $k > |A|/2$ is large when $|A|$ is large.

Acyclic Subgraphs of Digraphs: Parameterization above the Average

- Parameterization Above Tight Lower Bound: Does $D = (V, A)$ have an acyclic subgraph with at least $|A|/2 + k$ arcs? [ACYCLIC AA]
- The bound is tight: For symmetric digraphs, $k = 0$: a digraph D is **symmetric** if $xy \in A$ implies $yx \in A$.
- Mahajan, Raman and Sikdar (2009): Is ACYCLIC AA fixed-parameter tractable?

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Various Parameterizations
- 3 Strictly Above/Below Expectation Method**
- 4 Linear Ordering Problem AA
- 5 Exact r -SAT AA
- 6 Betweenness AA
- 7 Lin-2 AA

Strictly Above/Below Expectation Method (SABEM): Symmetric Case

- SABEM was recently introduced by GG, Kim, Szeider and Yeo [IWPEC'09].
- Apply some reduction rules to reduce the problem to its special case.
- Introduce a random variable X such that if $\text{Prob}(X \geq k) > 0$ then the answer to the problem AA is YES.
- If X is symmetric, then $\text{Prob}(X \geq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(X^2)}) > 0$.
- If $k \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(X^2)}$ then YES. Otherwise, $\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(X^2)} < k$ and we can often solve the problem using a brute force algorithm.

Strictly Above/Below Expectation Method: Asymmetric Case

Lemma (Alon, GG, Krivelevich, 2004; Alon, GG, Kim, Szeider, Yeo, SODA'2010)

Let X be a real random variable and suppose that its first, second and fourth moments satisfy $\mathbb{E}(X) = 0$, $\mathbb{E}(X^2) = \sigma^2 > 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(X^4) \leq b(\mathbb{E}(X^2))^2$, respectively. Then $\text{Prob}(X > \frac{\sigma}{2\sqrt{b}}) > 0$.

Lemma (Hypercontractive Inequality, Bonami, Gross, 1970s)

Let $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a polynomial of degree r in n variables x_1, \dots, x_n . Define a random variable X by choosing a vector $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ uniformly at random and setting $X = f(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$. Then $\mathbb{E}(X^4) \leq 9^r (\mathbb{E}(X^2))^2$.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Various Parameterizations
- 3 Strictly Above/Below Expectation Method
- 4 Linear Ordering Problem AA**
- 5 Exact r -SAT AA
- 6 Betweenness AA
- 7 Lin-2 AA

Reduction Rule for Linear Ordering Problem AA

- LINEAR ORDERING AA: each arc ij has positive integral weight w_{ij} , does $D = (V, A)$ have an acyclic subgraph of weight at least $W/2 + k$, where $W = \sum_{ij \in A} w_{ij}$?
- Reduction rule: Assume D has a directed 2-cycle iji ;
 - if $w_{ij} = w_{ji}$ delete the cycle,
 - if $w_{ij} > w_{ji}$ delete the arc ji and replace w_{ij} by $w_{ij} - w_{ji}$,
 - if $w_{ji} > w_{ij}$ delete the arc ij and replace w_{ji} by $w_{ji} - w_{ij}$.
- Thus, we've reduced LINEAR ORDERING AA to the one on oriented graphs.

SABEM for Linear Ordering AA-1

- Let $D = (V, A)$ be an oriented graph, let $n = |V|$.
- Consider a random bijection: $\alpha : V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ and a random variable $X(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij \in A} \varepsilon_{ij}(\alpha)$, where $\varepsilon_{ij}(\alpha) = w_{ij}$ if $\alpha(i) < \alpha(j)$ and $\varepsilon_{ij}(\alpha) = -w_{ij}$, otherwise.
- $X(\alpha) = \sum \{w_{ij} : ij \in A, \alpha(i) < \alpha(j)\} - W/2$. Thus, the answer is YES iff there is an $\alpha : V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $X(\alpha) \geq k$.
- Since $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_{ij}) = 0$, we have $\mathbb{E}(X) = 0$.

SABEM for Linear Ordering AA-2

Lemma

$$\mathbb{E}(X^2) \geq W^{(2)}/12, \text{ where } W^{(2)} = \sum_{ij \in A} w_{ij}^2.$$

Since X is symmetric, we have $\text{Prob}(X \geq \sqrt{W^{(2)}/12}) > 0$.
Hence, if $\sqrt{W^{(2)}/12} \geq k$, there is an $\alpha : V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $X(\alpha) \geq k$ and, thus, the answer is YES. Otherwise, $|A| \leq W^{(2)} < 12 \cdot k^2$. Thus, we have:

Theorem (GG, Kim, Szeider, Yeo, IWPEC'09)

LINEAR ORDERING AA is fixed-parameter tractable.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Various Parameterizations
- 3 Strictly Above/Below Expectation Method
- 4 Linear Ordering Problem AA
- 5 Exact r -SAT AA**
- 6 Betweenness AA
- 7 Lin-2 AA

Exact r -SAT

- EXACT r -SAT: A CNF formula \mathcal{F} which contains m clauses each with r literals. Is there a truth assignment satisfying all m clauses of \mathcal{F} ?
- MAX EXACT r -SAT: Find a truth assignment satisfying the max number of clauses.
- The prob. of a clause to be satisfied: $1 - 2^{-r}$.
- The average number of satisfied clauses: $(1 - 2^{-r})m$. This lower bound is tight.

Exact r -SAT AA-1

- EXACT r -SAT AA: Is there a truth assignment satisfying $\geq (1 - 2^{-r})m + k2^{-r}$ clauses?
- Mahajan, Raman and Sikdar (2009): What is the parameterized complexity of EXACT r -SAT AA for each fixed r ?
- Alon, GG, Kim, Szeider and Yeo (SODA 2010): EXACT r -SAT AA is FPT for each fixed r .

Exact r -SAT AA-2

- $-1 = \text{true}$.
- $X = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}} [1 - \prod_{x_i \in \text{var}(C)} (1 + \varepsilon_i x_i)]$, where $\varepsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $\varepsilon_i = 1$ iff x_i is in C .
- For a truth assignment τ , we have $X = 2^r (\text{sat}(\tau, F) - (1 - 2^{-r})m)$.
- The answer to EXACT r -SAT AA is YES iff $X \geq k$.

Exact r -SAT AA-3

- After algebraic simplification $X = X(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ can be written as $X = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{S}} X_I$, where $X_I = c_I \prod_{i \in I} x_i$, each c_I is a nonzero integer and \mathcal{S} is a family of nonempty subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ each with at most r elements. [This is a Fourier expansion of X .]
- $\mathbb{E}(X) = 0$ [Condition 1 of the Alon et al. inequality]
- $\mathbb{E}(X^2) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{S}} c_I^2 > 0$ [by Parseval's Theorem]
- By Hypercontractive Inequality, $\mathbb{E}(X^4) \leq 9^r \mathbb{E}(X^2)^2$. [Condition 2 of the Alon et al. inequality]

Exact r -SAT AA-4

- By the Alon et. al. inequality, $\text{Prob}(X \geq \frac{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(X^2)}}{2 \cdot 3^r}) > 0$.
- $\mathbb{E}(X^2) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{S}} c_I^2 \geq |\mathcal{S}| > 0$
- If $k \leq \frac{\sqrt{|\mathcal{S}|}}{2 \cdot 3^r}$ then YES.
- Otherwise $n' \leq r|\mathcal{S}| \leq 4r9^r k^2 = O(k^2)$ (n' is the number of variables in the Fourier expansion of X).
- Thus, an $m^{O(1)}2^{O(k^2)}$ -time algorithm.
- More work gives: $O(k^2)$ -size kernel.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Various Parameterizations
- 3 Strictly Above/Below Expectation Method
- 4 Linear Ordering Problem AA
- 5 Exact r -SAT AA
- 6 Betweenness AA**
- 7 Lin-2 AA

Betweenness AA

- Let $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a set of variables and let \mathcal{C} be a set of m **betweenness** constraints of the form $(v_i, \{v_j, v_k\})$.
- Given a bijection $\alpha : V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$, we say that a constraint $(v_i, \{v_j, v_k\})$ is **satisfied** if either $\alpha(v_j) < \alpha(v_i) < \alpha(v_k)$ or $\alpha(v_k) < \alpha(v_i) < \alpha(v_j)$.
- BETWEENNESS: find a bijection α satisfying the max number of constraints in \mathcal{C} .
- Tight Lower Bound: $m/3$, the expectation number of satisfied constraints is $m/3$.
- BETWEENNESS AA: Is there α that satisfies $\geq m/3 + \kappa$ constraints? (κ is the parameter)

Difficulties

- Benny Chor's question in Niedermeier's book (2006): What is the parameterized complexity of BETWEENNESS AA?
- Difficult to estimate $\mathbb{E}(X^2)$, practically impossible to do $\mathbb{E}(X^4)$, but we cannot use Hypercontractive Inequality as X is not a polynomial of constant-bounded degree.
- What to do?

Way Around Difficulties-1

- Gutin, Kim, Mnich and Yeo: BETWEENNESS AA is FPT.
- An instance (V, \mathcal{C}) , where V is the set of variables and $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_m\}$ is the set of betweenness constraints.
- A random function $\phi : V \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.
- ϕ -compatible bijections α : if $\phi(v_i) < \phi(v_j)$ then $\alpha(v_i) < \alpha(v_j)$.

Way Around Difficulties-2

- Let α be a random ϕ -compatible bijection and $\nu_p(\alpha) = 1$ if C_p is satisfied and 0, otherwise.
- Let the *weights* $w(C_p, \phi) = \mathbb{E}(\nu_p(\alpha)) - 1/3$ and $w(\mathcal{C}, \phi) = \sum_{p=1}^m w(C_p, \phi)$.

Lemma

If $w(\mathcal{C}, \phi) \geq \kappa$ then (V, \mathcal{C}) is a YES-instance of BETWEENNESS AA.

- Thus, to solve BETWEENNESS AA, it suffices to find ϕ for which $w(\mathcal{C}, \phi) \geq \kappa$.
- We may forget about bijections α !

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Various Parameterizations
- 3 Strictly Above/Below Expectation Method
- 4 Linear Ordering Problem AA
- 5 Exact r -SAT AA
- 6 Betweenness AA
- 7 Lin-2 AA**

Lin-2 AA

- A system of linear equations over $GF(2)$: $\sum_{i \in I_j} z_i = b_j$,
 $I_j \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Equation j has weight $w_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.
- The problem MAX LIN-2 asks for an assignment of values to the variables that maximizes the total weight of the satisfied equations.

Lin-2 AA

- Let $W = w_1 + \dots + w_m$. A greedy-type algorithm guarantees a solution of weight $\geq W/2$.
- LIN-2 AA: Does the system have a solution of weight $\geq W/2 + k$?
- Mahajan, Raman and Sikdar (2009): What is the parameterized complexity of LIN-2 AA?

Lin-2 AA

- $X = \sum_{j=1}^m X_j$, where $X_j = (-1)^{b_j} w_j \prod_{i \in I_j} x_i$, $x_i \in \{-1, 1\}$.
- Observe that $X_j = w_j$ if equation j is satisfied and $X_j = -w_j$, otherwise.
- The answer to LIN-2 AA is YES iff $X \geq 2k$.
- Difficulty: in general $|I_j|$ is not bounded from above [we cannot apply Hypercontractive Inequality].

Lin-2 AA

- Not proved to be FPT yet, but proved FPT [GG, Kim, Szeider, Yeo, IWPEC'09] in three cases:
- Case 1: There exists a set U of variables such that each equation of S contains an odd number of variables from U . [Symmetric X]
- Case 2: $\leq O(1)$ variables in each equation. [Alon et al. inequality + Hypercontractive Inequality]
- Case 3: Every variable in $\leq O(1)$ equations. [Alon et al. inequality + $\mathbb{E}(X^4)$ bounded without Hypercontractive Inequality]

Thank you!

- Questions?
- Comments?