

◇ Hiding ◇

There is an alternative approach to this kind of specification. Instead of putting a process in parallel with the specification to generate the events which we don't care about, we can *hide* those events from the process being specified.

If we define

$$NEWSYSTEM = SYSTEM \setminus \{year1, year2, year3, graduate\}$$

then the behaviour of *NEWSYSTEM* is derived from that of *SYSTEM* by making the listed events invisible. The traces of *NEWSYSTEM* are the traces of *SYSTEM* with these events removed.

Now we can simply write

$$SPEC \sqsubseteq_T NEWSYSTEM.$$

as the specification. *SPEC* only involves the events which we are interested in, and the hiding in the definition of *NEWSYSTEM* shows which events we are leaving out of the specification.

◇ Using Hiding ◇

Returning to the level crossing example, there is an alternative approach to specifying the desired behaviour. We can use hiding to avoid specifying the events which we don't care about. In this case, all we want to do is specify that *crash* never occurs.

If we hide all the events except *crash* from *SYSTEM* (or *SAFE_SYSTEM*) then all we need for the specification is a process which never does *crash*:

$$STOP \sqsubseteq_T SYSTEM \setminus (E_T \cup E_C \cup E_G)$$

◇ Defining Hiding ◇

The transition rules defining hiding are

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{a} P'}{P \setminus A \xrightarrow{\tau} P' \setminus A} [a \in A]$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{a} P'}{P \setminus A \xrightarrow{a} P' \setminus A} [a \notin A]$$

As we saw when using FDR, the hidden events are replaced by τ , representing "silent" or "internal" events. τ events are not normally included in traces, although as we have seen, FDR can show where in a trace the τ events occur. When we discuss traces, we will not include τ .